App F IX-4

1931.

File No. 0-31

Previous References

Serial Nos. 19 20 47 3143

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 47

Part B for September 32

SUBJECT.

Affair of Sri Narasimha Swamy Car festival of Thirthalli Bangalore Dt.

To The Hon'ble Comr No. 383 of 7-9-32

Requests to produce Sanction of Government into the village of the fixation of Expenditure

475 / 100

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mug File No. 1 of 1931-2

Serial No.	
19	Petition dt 14.11.31 from messrs M. Appiramaya, Sequeira Fernandes of Todoor Sthalli Jeevanthapura Hobli Bangalore & Taluk. Enclosures among other matters. That the Amildar has given away about 60 to 70 acres of the Todoor village to one Konetè Narasimhaiya for grazing purposes & that the said Narasimhaiya has also been given a Tamarind tree yielding an income of Rs 10 to Rs 15/- as his kabdari due. Orders of the Dewan on the P.U.D. & Mug. A report may be called for especially as to whether orders already passed have been given effect to
20	No 720 of 20/4/31 from the Private Secretary to the Chief Minister Cochin requesting to furnish a [illegible]

Inspector reports to the Executive Engineer Bangalore Division Bangalore

Dept No 1950729-30 in pursuance of

Issue 18.11.31

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 0255—50,000—GPB—8-7

File No. of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat.

Letter no 5594/31-32 dt 7-7-32 from the Inam Commissioner stating that Soorikaren village belonging to the temple of Sri Nanjundeswara Swami has been surveyed and the Survey Superintendent has been asked to expedite the settlement and a statement of Dharmadayis proposed by the Sub-Division Officer has been approved by the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District.

In para 4 of the P.N. & I

The petitioners (on 14/11/31) complained that the survey settlement had not been effected since! No reasons are assigned by the Inam C. in P.N. & II why the settlement is allowed to drag on.

The S.D.O. in his report of 17-5-32 says that no action was taken by the Amildar for more in advance of appointing Dharmadarsis for distribution & for fixing a scale. The Inam C. complained Officer concerned is found in the records for the delay of ~~Cause for~~ years 3 years in this respect is not in the records.

One of the points complained in P.N. & I is that the Amildar has given a right to a Tamarind tree to one Narasimhacharya. As regards this kind reference is invited to 1.(a) of the S.D.O's report of 17.5.32.

The D.C. says that the lands have been surveyed and that the Survey Supdt being requested to expedite the

R. 22/7/32

It seems necessary to obtain the explanation of the Amildar for his inordinate delay in carrying out the orders of Govt. both the S.D.O. & the D.C. are silent about fixing a scale of expenditure & income. 22/7/32

Serial No.

The particular Tamarind tree which has been included in the Amarsingh sales of the previous years is omitted in the sale of 1931-32 & given away by the Amildar to one Amarsinhaja Vehiroji obtaining the orders of higher officers (though it is a matter in which simple interests are concerned)

Hy. 9

As seen from the Statement given by Narasimharao he produced no document before the Amildar nor is it mentioned in the S.D.O's Memorandum from records. Further searching in the matter by the S.D.O is therefore necessary, as that officer doesn't definitely say that the exclusion of the tree from the list is correct.

(1) Further enquiry seems necessary. The Amildar should have obtained orders of his superior officer before disposing of the tree as he has done.

22/7/32

Kind regd is invited to para 4 of S.D.O's report of 17-5-32. He says that some mistake appears to have been made in respect of the sale of Tulbaram - A detailed report with proposals, be called for on the several points

This may be approved.

22/7/32

In para 7 of his report the S.D.O refers to certain irregularities on the part of persons in connection with the sale of Tulbaram & has been enquiring into the matter. It should be ascertained what the result of the enquiry is.

(2) This may be done

22/7/32

Submitted.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

File No. of 193 | General and Reven Secretariat

With Marginal notes. It is clear from the report of the S. D.O. that no action had been taken by the Amildar as per fr. of 1927. This requires explanation

22/7/32

Fri Secy)

757

182 (Memo)

22/8/32

The points mentioned in the order of 1927 about which action has not so far been taken may be brought to M.C.'s Notice

M.C. 24/8/32

2/8/32

Filed

G.S. 26/8/32

3/8

No 393 of 7.9.32 to the Inspector Commissioner requesting him to state steps taken in connection of Survey Settlement in w-the Goa Sattari Village referred to in—

Vide P. U. D I ref. from certain residents of the Goa Sattari Village re the alleged Encroachments

The orders of a reply has to be given with reference to the lines on which the Settlement may be brought.

It is not clear from the records

No reply is necessary

12/9/32

TYPING SECTION 31 AUG. 1932 GENL. & REV. SECT.

1932 No. 1287

Mez 1-31-19

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
475
1931

14/11

14.11.31

7-28-7

Appendix F (G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section The 2

File No. 1 — 31

Serial No. 5 (1)

Diary No. of letter replied to 475

No 720 Revenue

To

The Dn C

Sir

I am directed to forward ~~for information and~~ necessary Copy of Petn of 14 11 19 from certain residents of Todi Seshachalli Village - Yeswanthpur Hobli Bangalore Tk stating that ~~a piece of~~ the Amildar ~~of this~~ has given to one Konda Narasimhappa ~~under~~ 60 to 70 Acres of land of the Todi Village endowed to the Sri Narasimha Kaswamis Temple ~~of the Konda Narasimha~~ for grazing purposes and ~~also~~ issuing title ~~to the said Narasimha~~ also to ~~the~~ I am also to forward herewith a Copy of Petn of 10 11 19 from certain residents of Yeshwanthpur

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Date of issue 20/11/31

Signed by Mr V. S. Sastri

List of enclosures .....

Copied by ....

Examined by .....

WD 71—GPBM—40,000—20-7-31.

to The Temple I am ~~also~~ to enquire
whether orders issued in ~~[illegible]~~ No 1112-3/
in respect of the Post Shalebridge
Proj 386-26-7 Dt 11.11.28 have been
and to request the submission of a detailed report on the present
position & Recommendations ~~be made~~
before the 20th Dec 1931

Thanks
VS
18.11.31
for see Mr Gore
Hoepa

GS
18/4

C. 13]

No. 544/File 31-32

MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, 5th July 1932

FROM

S. P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L
~~S. HIRIANNAIYA, Esq., M.A., B.L.~~
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

S. Shamanna, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.
Financial *Department.*

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.720/Muz. dated 20th November 1931 calling for a detailed report on the complaint of certain ----- residents of Jodi Settihalli village, Bangalore Taluk, regarding the disposal of certain grazing land and a tamarind tree belonging to -- Sri Narasimhaswamy temple at the place, I have the honor to forward herewith the vernacular records received with the Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's letter No.C.6 2208/31-32 dated 21st June 1932 (copy enclosed) and to state that the Sub Division Officer's report dated 17th May 1932 (enclosed in the records, answers all the points ----- raised in the complaint petition and your letter under advertance. As regards the points raised in the covering letter, viz., introduction of survey and settlement the Deputy Commissioner states that the village has been surveyed and that the Survey Superintendent has been requested to expedite the Settlement. As regards the -- appointment of ~~the~~ a committee of Dharmadarsis to the temple, the Deputy Commissioner reports that he has approved the list proposed by the Sub Division Officer as Munilakshamma and not adopted son (from one of the original vahivatdar's family) were unwilling to serve on the Committee. The Deputy Commissioner will be requested to submit his report as to the result of the effort on the lines referred to in para 3 of the Sub Division Officer's report.

Para 8 of the S.D.O's report dt 17-5-32

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.C6-2208/31-32 dated 21st June 1932 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District to the Huzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

In continuation of this office letter No.C6-2208/31-32 dated 26th April 1932 re: the petition of certain ryots of Jodi Settihalli village complaining that the Amildar, Bangalore City Taluk has granted 60 to 70 acres of land for grazing purposes to one Koneti Narasimhiah etc., I have the honor to forward herewith the complete records since received from the Sub Division Officer (Bangalore) after his enquiry, together with a copy of his report for your information. His report answers all the points raised in your docket No.594/31-32 dated 4-12-31. As regards the points raised in Government order of 1928, viz. Introduction of Survey and Settlement in Jodi Settihalli village it is reported by the Amildar, Bangalore City Taluk that the village has been surveyed but that settlement work is not yet taken up by the Survey Department. The Survey Superintendent is being requested to expedite the settlement.

Re: the other point viz appointment of a Committee of Dharmadarsis - constituting of one of the Members of Munilakshamma's family, as she and her adopted son are unwilling to become the members the proposal of the Sub Division Officer

Officer for the appointment of Dharmadarsis has been approved

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 393/muz.1-31-32
Encl: 1 File

Bangalore, Dated ~~1st September~~ 1932

From

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,*
Financial *Department.*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Subject:- Affairs of Sri Narasimhaswami temple Jodi Settihalli village, Bangalore Taluk.

With reference to your letter No.594/31-32 of 7th July 1932 submitting a report on the above subject, I am directed to request you to be so good as to take steps to expedite the introduction of survey settlement into the Jodi Settihalli village and for fixing a scale of expenditure with reference to the income of the temple from all sources so as to regulate the proper performance of pujas in the temple. The records received with your letter under advertance are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

mk.2

F G—1]

.....

.....

.....

Section Diary

File No. 1 – 31.

Serial No. 32. ---

Dial No. of letter replied to .....

No. 393

To Encl: 1 file.

The M.C.

Subject:— Affairs Sri Nanjundeshwara Temple Tour Settlement Village – Kunigal Taluk.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Date of issue 7.9.32

V. B. Muddukrishnachar

Signed by .....

Sir,

In reply to your letter No 594/31-32 dt 7-7-32 submitting a report on the above subject, I am directed to request you to take steps to expedite the ~~work~~ settlement of the Tour Settlement Village. A fair

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

refer to me in order so
as to regulate the proper performance
of the Temple.
of ~~this~~ ~~the Temple~~
The Records required with your
letter were advertised and
herewith returned.

Yr am Ed

31/8/32

for Secretary
of State